| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/719,341  | LYSAGHT, PATRICK   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | Phallaka Kik  | 2825   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: per                                      | nding  |
| (1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) Justin Liu (Reg. No. 51,959).   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 3 January 2007   | Time: <u>1:30PM</u>   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  | nt's representative)  |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None  |   |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>1-35   |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: None   |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet   |   |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| 01.01.11  | •   |  |
| Thallak Mil   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/  | Applicant's Representative Si                                   | onature – if appropriate)                                  |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 12/22/2006--In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 1-35 are subjected to a restriction requirement wherein group I invention, claims 1-18 are directed to a programmable device and group I invention, claims 19-35 are directed to the method of operating the programmable device, wherein the inventions I and II are related together as a product and process of using, wherein at least the programmable device of invention I can be practiced with a materially different process or method of operating the programmable other than that of invention II and wherein there would be different search required for each invention, Applicant's Representative elected with traverse to prosecute group II invention of claims 19-35.

1/3/2007--In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 19-35 are allowable, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to cancel the non-elected claims 1-18 as given in the attached Examiner's amendment..